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## Academic Calendar

### Fall Term 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 23–June 14</td>
<td>Fall Quarter 2019 Priority Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 2</td>
<td>Labor Day Holiday, university and library closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 11</td>
<td>Beginning of academic year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from all classes and receive 100% refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 19</td>
<td>Classes begin (Saturday classes begin Sept. 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 25</td>
<td>Last day to add classes via My Coyote Self-Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 9</td>
<td>Columbus Day, university open (observed 12/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 14</td>
<td>Winter Quarter 2020 Advising begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1</td>
<td>Last day to file or refile graduation check for Spring 2020 graduation without payment of late fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 4-Nov. 26</td>
<td>Winter Quarter 2020 Priority Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 11</td>
<td>Veteran's Day Holiday, university and library closed (instructional makeup day Dec. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 28-Dec. 1</td>
<td>Thanksgiving recess, university and library closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 2</td>
<td>Last day of classes (Saturday classes end Nov. 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 3-7</td>
<td>Final examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 7</td>
<td>Commencement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td>Grades due; end of term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 25-Jan. 1</td>
<td>Academic recess, campus closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Winter Term 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 4-Nov. 26</td>
<td>Winter Quarter 2020 Priority Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 2</td>
<td>Last day to file or refile graduation check for Summer 2020 graduation without payment of late fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 3</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from all classes and receive 100% refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>Classes begin (Saturday classes begin Jan. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Last day to add classes via My Coyote Self-Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 18-20</td>
<td>Martin Luther King, Jr. Holiday, university and library closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 27</td>
<td>CENSUS: Last day to drop classes without record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 3</td>
<td>Last day to file or refile graduation check for Fall 2020 graduation without payment of late fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 3</td>
<td>Spring Quarter 2020 Advising begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 12</td>
<td>Lincoln's Birthday, university open (observed 12/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 10-Mar.2</td>
<td>Spring Quarter 2020 Priority Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 21</td>
<td>Washington's Birthday, university open (observed 12/29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 16</td>
<td>Last day of classes (Saturday classes end March 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 17-21</td>
<td>Final examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 23-27</td>
<td>Academic recess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 24</td>
<td>Grades due; end of term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March. 31</td>
<td>Cesar Chavez Holiday, university and library closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Spring Term 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 10-Mar.2</td>
<td>Spring Quarter 2020 Priority Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 27</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from all classes and receive 100% refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 30</td>
<td>Classes begin (Saturday classes begin March 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 6</td>
<td>Last day to add classes via My Coyote Self-Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 20</td>
<td>CENSUS: Last day to drop classes without record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23-25</td>
<td>Memorial Day Holiday, university and library closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Last day of classes (Saturday classes end June 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 9-13</td>
<td>Final examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Commencement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Grades due; end of term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a more detailed calendar of academic dates and deadlines, see the quarterly [Class Schedule](http://academicscheduling.csusb.edu/). The above calendar is not intended to be construed as an employee work calendar.

CSUSB is moving to semesters in FALL 2020. Summer 2020 will only offer one session.

### Summer Term 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 4-20</td>
<td>Summer Session 6W1 2020 Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Last day to withdraw from all classes and receive 100% refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Classes begin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Last day to add classes via My Coyote Self-Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>CENSUS: Last day to drop classes without record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 23</td>
<td>Last day of classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27-28</td>
<td>Final examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 30</td>
<td>Grades due; end of term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dear Cohort 13,

Welcome to the Doctor of Education in Educational Leadership Program here at California State University, San Bernardino! We are pleased that you have joined our program and look forward to working with you through your studies.

Your enrollment in the doctoral program highlights your dedication to acquiring and sharpening 21-century leadership skills. The schools of today and tomorrow will benefit greatly by your increasing responsibility as educational leaders. We hope you will find in the program a continuing collegiality that sustains you in your leadership roles.

We encourage you to call on the program directors, esteemed faculty and dissertation committee members, and program staff for support throughout your academic involvement at CSUSB. We are here to participate in, and to cultivate, your success.

Many thanks to Catherine Snow for her 13 years of service to the Ed.D. program.

Catherine Snow
Administrative Support Coordinator
INTRODUCTION

The Doctoral Program Guidelines are designed to serve as a general guide for the Doctor of Education in Educational Leadership program and to aid the student’s orientation to, and progress in, the program. It is based upon the 2019-2020 Bulletin of Courses of California State University, San Bernardino. The Bulletin represents the official regulations and procedures of the doctoral program, and is generally to be relied upon to guide students.

The Guidelines will acquaint students and faculty with the procedures of the Ed.D. Program in Educational Leadership, with selected policies and regulations of CSU San Bernardino, and with some of the resources available to all as doctoral students. For many doctoral students with full-time job responsibilities, developing a network of faculty and student colleagues can be a challenge. In addition to attendance in classes, students attend specific seminars that seek to provide guidance and support throughout the process. Special opportunities to meet with the faculty and staff are offered, beginning with the mandatory orientation session in September. These opportunities offer additional ways for students to become familiar with the research interests and projects of faculty and fellow students and gain insights on such matters as qualifying examinations or finding financial support.

Students also need to take responsibility for getting to know the CSUSB Ed.D. faculty better through setting up interviews in order to make the best selection for their dissertation committee. The faculty list link can be found in this handbook.

Students are encouraged to work closely with advisors and faculty committees and with program staff to complete the degree requirements.

As doctoral students, you are responsible for following the procedures outlined in the guidebook and staying informed of program changes.
CSUSB Ed.D. in Educational Leadership Mission Statement

Context

The Inland Empire region, comprised of San Bernardino and Riverside counties, faces considerable social, political, and economic challenges. These contextual challenges have a direct impact on the quality of education across the PK-20 educational pipeline. Low-income children, students of color, English learners, students with disabilities and many others face resource deficiencies and inequitable opportunities to learn. Educational institutions must effectively respond to these disparities by engaging parents and communities to establish deliberate, co-equal partnerships that result in high-quality centers of educational excellence for the 21st century.

Mission

The mission of the Doctor of Education program is to prepare educational leaders with the knowledge base and skills to lead reform efforts that result in improved student outcomes and who are committed to equity, inclusion, and social justice.

Commitments

We believe 21st century leaders must develop cultures of excellence in their respective institutions and communities where educators and community stakeholders support, inspire, and effectively communicate with one another; establish and maintain a culture of high expectations; celebrate and cherish human relationships; and communicate these values clearly and respectfully with all communities and stakeholders. Our leaders must also become visionary agents of change who can solve pressing problems, are committed to lifelong learning, are innovative, and are technologically savvy. Finally, our leaders must demonstrate integrity, practice self-reflection, and strive towards in-depth knowledge of local cultures and communities.

Through the coursework and design of the program, we will focus on equity, inclusion, and social justice through the following commitments:

a) Inclusivity: of people, perspectives, and purpose when working with students, families, and communities to shape goals and outcomes;

b) Student Success: Relentless promotion of and creation of environments that promote student success readiness at all levels of education;

c) Excellence: Commitment to learning from models of excellence and transforming institutions, when necessary, to demand excellence, opportunity, and equitable outcomes;
d) **Leadership Development:** Equipping well-prepared educational leaders with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to lead and guide communities in positive change in California's PK-12 and college/university systems;

e) **Commitment to Praxis:** Building research collaborates around “Community Problems of Practice” that focus on relevant challenges in the field to improve student achievement, opportunity, and community well-being;

f) **Bridging the Pipeline:** Preparing a pipeline of PK-12 educators/leaders and community college/university leaders with continuous support for career and personal growth;

g) **Interdisciplinary:** Engaging distinguished faculty and community stakeholders with varied disciplinary perspectives in coursework examining research, theory, and significant challenges; and

h) **Community Engagement & Development:** Including outstanding community/educational leaders in instructional roles, mentoring roles, and in curricular development as well as programmatic dialogue and decision-making; Vision of stakeholders as partners for educational progress including students, parents, educators, leadership, business partners, non-profits, and others;

California State University, San Bernardino and the Ed.D. in Educational Leadership have been approved and accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC). The doctoral program is housed in the College of Education which is also accredited by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CCTC).

The faculty members in the California State University, San Bernardino doctoral program represent broadly-based and disciplinary expertise such as educational leadership, curriculum and instruction, educational psychology, counseling, language literacy and culture, science education, quantitative analysis, management, communication, and public administration.

CSU San Bernardino has several active research centers and institutes that help to create the broad-based applied research culture of the program, such as the Center for Equity in Education, Institute for Research, Assessment and Professional Development, the Center for Developmental Disabilities, the Institute for Child Development and Family Relations, the Learning Research Institute, the CSUSB Environmental Education Resource Center, the CSUSB Center for the Enhancement of Mathematics Education, and the Community-University Partnership Institute. Faculty have active research programs and/or initiatives, and students are encouraged to seek out such initiatives as possible dissertation opportunities.
PROGRAM OF STUDY REQUIRED FOR THE DEGREE

The Program of Study is 94-96 quarter units in length and is designed to be completed in three calendar years, including summers (no exceptions). The course of study is offered as a cohort program with a fixed order for all students. If, for any reason, a student must take a leave of absence, they must work with the doctoral studies office to develop a new program plan.

Classes normally are held one evening a week and each Saturday morning. The five-part research seminars are held alternate Saturdays right after the course that precedes it. On Saturday mornings the complete cohort will meet together on the San Bernardino campus. For the Tuesday class, part of the cohort will meet on the San Bernardino campus and part will meet on the Palm Desert campus with video streaming in both areas. It is important to note that tuition is higher over the summer (approximately $1,500 higher than other quarters) and that taking the summer courses in sequence is mandatory. Summer courses cannot be taken as Independent Study courses during the academic year.

All students complete a dissertation based on a review of the literature and independent research on a community problem of practice related to educational leadership, student achievement, or school/community college improvement. Students are assisted in planning, researching, and writing the dissertation through research methods courses, Saturday doctoral seminars, and meetings with their dissertation chair. The final dissertation will be presented at the end of year three, if ready. Students are strongly encouraged to plan ahead to finish the dissertation within three years.

Regarding requirements for graduation, the CSUSB Bulletin serves as the document to be followed.

http://bulletin.csusb.edu/colleges-schools-departments/education/educational-leadership-technology/educational-leadership-edd/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE SCHEDULE 2019-2020</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall</strong></td>
<td>EDUC 700 Scientific Inquiry (4 units)</td>
<td>EDUC 780 Special Topics (4 units)</td>
<td>(PK-12) EDUC 718 Strategic Planning, Accountability &amp; Change (5 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50pm</td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50pm</td>
<td>Saturday 9 to 12:50pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PK-12) EDUC 705 Organizations &amp; Leadership (4 units)</td>
<td>(PK-12) EADM 736 Instructional Leadership &amp; Learning Strategies (4 units)</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td>(CC) EDUC 762 Higher Education Strategic Planning, Accountability, &amp; Change (5 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Saturday 9 to 12:50pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(CC) EDUC 760 Foundations of Community Colleges &amp; other Higher Education Systems (4 units)</td>
<td>(CC) EDUC 764 Fostering Student Learning &amp; Success (5 units)</td>
<td>EDUC 790-05 Research Seminar (1 unit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td>Saturday 1 to 3:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter</strong></td>
<td>EDUC 709 Diversity &amp; Equity in Education (4 units)</td>
<td>EDUC 780 Special Topics Elective (Over 500 level) (4 units)</td>
<td>EDUC 799 Dissertation Study (2-6 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50am</td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50pm</td>
<td>This work is done with your dissertation committee and not through a formal course with your cohort. Students will take a total of 16 units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EDUC 707 Quantitative Methods (4 units)</td>
<td>(PK-12) EADM 730 Politics, Legislative Action &amp; Educational Change (4 units)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(CC) EDUC 768 Higher Education Governance &amp; Policy (5 units)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EDUC 790-03 Research Seminar (1 unit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 12 to 2:00pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Course Title</td>
<td>Credits</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>EDUC 712 Qualitative Methods (4 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>EDUC 714 Educational Institutions as Cultural and Social Systems (4 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 9 to 12:50pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>EDUC 790-01 Research Seminar (1 unit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 1 to 3:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>EDU 702 Foundations in Education &amp; Leadership (5 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday 6 to 9:50pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>(PK-12) EADM 738 Assessment &amp; Evaluation (5 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>(CC) EDU 766 Assessment &amp; Evaluation in Higher Education (5 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 8 to 11:50am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>EDU 790-02 Research Seminar (1 unit)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday 12 to 2:00pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summer courses must be taken over the summer.
CATALOG DESCRIPTIONS OF COURSES

EDUC 700: Scientific Inquiry - Overview of basic tools and methods required for scientific inquiry. Guided practice in the critical review of current educational literature, hypothesis development, and design of basic quantitative and qualitative methods. Content coverage to include research designs, sampling techniques, data collection strategies, basic psychometrics, descriptive and inferential statistics, and ethical treatment of research participants. (4 units)

EDUC 705: Organization and Administration of School Systems/Community Colleges - Examines educational institutions, administrative roles, organizational and administrative theory. Developing and sustaining positive relationships with boards and governance issues are emphasized. (PK-12) (4 units)

OR

EDUC 760: Foundations of Community Colleges and other Higher Education Systems – This course provides a broad overview of California’s higher education system, including its historical, political, philosophical, and social aspects. Emphasizes social responsibility and the relationships between PreK-12 and higher education. (CC) (4 units)

EDUC 707: Quantitative Methods - Guided practice in designing quantitative research in education. Analyzes quantitative methods, data collection and results. (4 units)

EDUC 709: Diversity and Equity in Education – This course prepares educational leaders to promote equity and diversity in PK-20. Students review theories, interpret policies, and develop inclusive practices, which foster various forms of diversity. (4 units)

EDUC 712: Qualitative Methods - Guided practice in designing and conduction qualitative research in education. Analyzes qualitative methods, data collection results. (4 units)

EDUC 714: Educational Institutions as Cultural and Social Systems – This course examines the context of schooling as a domain of socio-cultural interaction and interrogates the theoretical perspectives from which this domain can be understood. (4 units)

EDUC 702: Foundations in Education and Leadership - Examines links between educational theory, research, and practice in the larger context of ethical, philosophical, economic, political, while addressing diversity and equity in the US and worldwide. The course provides a context for understanding the current and emerging nature and role of education and educational leaders in a global society. (5 units)

EADM 738: Assessment and Evaluation - Assessment of student learning outcomes, tests and measurements, measurement theory and using data for improvement. Four hours lecture and two hours practicum. (PK-12) (5 units)
EDUC 766: Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education - This course establishes the understanding of assessment and evaluation practices and processes to promote continuous quality and the long-term health of higher education institutions and programs. Emphasis will be placed on accreditation, accountability measures, and performance. Four hours lecture 2 hours practicum. (CC) (5 units)

EDUC 718: Strategic Planning, Accountability and Change - Principles of change, innovation, shared vision, mission and goals in accordance with the principles of strategic planning and accountability, to include the Educational and Facilities Master Plan. Four hours lecture and two hours practicum. (PK-12) (5 units)

OR

EDUC 762: Higher Education Strategic Planning, Accountability, and Change – This course engages students in an in-depth exploration of strategic planning in higher education. Focuses on the transformational changes needed to position higher education institutions to address current and future challenges and emphasizes the relationships between PreK-12 and higher education. Four hours lecture 2 hours practicum. (CC) (5 units)

EDUC 720: Advanced Quantitative Research Methods - Advanced designs and interpretation of data from designs using covariates (ANCOVA and hierarchical regression), mediation and moderation effects (in ANOVA and multiple regression), hierarchical linear modeling (nested data), factor analysis, structural equation modeling, utility analysis, and meta-analysis. Results writing and discussion sections based on selected data analyses. (4 units)

AND/OR

EDUC 722: Advanced Qualitative Research Methods - Provides students the opportunity to conduct a qualitative study in education on a topic of their choice and to better understand the assumptions of theory, method, and analysis guiding their research choices. Readings will focus on issues involved in the interconnected processes of framing a study, writing a proposal, considering ethical and political issues, collecting data, analyzing and interpreting data, and writing and presenting research for varied purposes. (4 units)

EDUC 790: Research Seminar - Mentors and guides students through the dissertation. Members meet and discuss their progress with the cohort and faculty. Faculty supervise dissertation planning, research and preparation. Must be repeated for a total of five units. (5 units)

EDDL 7412: Strategic and Fiscal Planning, Accountability and Change in PK-12 - Engages students in an in-depth exploration and application of strategic and fiscal planning in PK-12. Emphasizes the relationships between PK-12 and higher education. (3 units)

EDDL 7420: Career and Technical Education Leadership in Community College - Examines the leadership role of career and technical education in community colleges and its overall mission.
Focus areas will include the relationships between PK-12 and community college programs with emphasis on state and federal regulations. (3 units)

**ESPE 7906**: Leadership in Program Development and Management - Leadership and administration of programs for students with disabilities, including the review of legislative authority and state and federal regulations. Emphasis will be placed on accessibility and inclusive practices. (3 units)

**EDDL 7904**: Human Resources Development and Management - This course examines leadership issues surrounding human resources in PK-20 contexts, including: state and federal laws, union relations, employee hiring and evaluation, professional development, and capacity building. (3 units)

**EDDL 7905**: Field-based Practicum in PK-20 Settings - Field-based experiences that enhance leadership practice and provide for significant interaction in either PK-12 and/or community college/higher education settings. (3 units)

**EDDL 7908**: Ethical Leadership and Decision Making in Education - Leadership ethics and the utilization of principles of personal balance in daily life and work. Covers decision-making theories and how decisions are made at various levels in PreK-12 and community college/higher education to effectuate positive and sustaining change. Formerly offered as EDUC 726. (3 units)

**EDDL 7903**: Leadership and Fiscal Planning in Complex Organizations - Methods of financing public education. Identifies budgeting and accounting techniques used by school districts and college leaders in support of the instructional process and considers legal requirements and public reactions to the financing of education and resource allocation to achieve student outcomes. (3 units)

**EDDL 7804**: Dissertation Study - Directed independent study. Students prepare their dissertations with faculty support. Dissertation focus is primarily on problems of practice and leadership roles that impact the regional service region. (3 units)

**EDDL 7212**: Public School Organization, Governance, and Policy - Examines PK-12 governance and policy at the district, county, state, and federal level using organizational theories. The course focuses on leaders applying practices that connect legislative and judicial action with social, political, and economic forces affecting PK-12. Formerly offered as EADM 730. (3 units)

**OR**

**EDDL 7220**: Higher Education Organization, Governance and Policy - Examines higher education governance and policy at the institutional, state, and federal level using organizational theories. The advanced course focuses on leaders applying practices that connect legislative and judicial action with social, political, and economic forces affecting higher education. Formerly offered as EDUC 768. (3 units)
**EDDL 7112:** Instructional Leadership for Teaching and Learning in PK-12 - This course presents leadership skills, strategies, and models for leading, teaching, and learning. Emphasizes leadership for improving teaching strategies related to learning theories, instructional reform, and professional development for school improvement. Formerly offered as EADM 736. (3 units)

OR

**EDDL 7120:** Leadership for Teaching and Learning in Community College/Higher Education - Presents teaching and learning theories, policies, and practices that leaders can engage to foster college student transition, persistence, and completion. Emphasizes leadership practices that coordinate resources, improve student learning, and foster academic achievement for diverse student populations in the community college and higher education sector. Formerly offered as EDUC 764. (3 units)
DOCTORAL STANDARDS

In general, doctoral study deals with more complex ideas and demands more sophisticated techniques, research, and critical analysis than either graduate or undergraduate study. Extensive research is required in both primary and secondary sources, and high quality writing is expected. Students are advised to consider these factors before committing to a rigorous doctoral program.

Ed.D. students are required to demonstrate professional behavior in all aspects of the program. Students are expected to be knowledgeable about and adhere to the professional standards for the field as well as the University standards outlined in the Schedule of Classes and Catalog, especially the sections on Student Conduct, Graduate Admission, and Academic Dishonesty.

Doctoral students in the Ed.D. Program are expected to demonstrate:
- Personal responsibility and accountability
- Respect for all individuals enriched by an understanding of culture and diversity
- Commitment to lifelong learning
- Commitment to working collaboratively
- A wide range of knowledge and skills
- An understanding of the necessity of theory to ground practice
- Ethical character (demonstrating integrity and trustworthiness, honesty, courteousness, an open mind, the treatment of all others with fairness and impartiality)
STUDENT SUPPORT AND SCHOLARLY OPPORTUNITIES

Student Support Services, Resources, and Scholarly Opportunities:
https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/resources/student-support-scholarly-opportunities

University Ombuds Services
https://www.csusb.edu/ombuds

CSUSB ScholarWorks: Electronic Theses, Projects, and Dissertations
http://www.lib.csusb.edu/collections/thesesDissertation.html

John M. Pfau Library
http://www.lib.csusb.edu/

Office of Graduate Studies
http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/

Counseling and Psychological Services
https://www.csusb.edu/caps

CSUSB Graduate Writing Center
http://www.ugs.csusb.edu/wc/gwc.html

Obershaw Den
https://www.csusb.edu/community-engagement/den
DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAM FACULTY

Faculty Members
https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/program-overview/faculty

The doctoral studies program can only be comprised of full-time or FERPING CSUSB faculty or full-time CSUSB employees with doctoral degrees. Doctoral studies program faculty must demonstrate an active record of scholarly work such as presentations and publications. The purpose of the doctoral studies program faculty is to serve as chairs and/or committee members on doctoral student committees provided that they have had previous experience serving as dissertation chairs. If they have not, they would first need to serve as a co-chair. Regarding the selection of faculty for course instruction for the doctoral program, FAM procedures are followed. This is a five-year term. An annual survey will be sent to doctoral studies program faculty asking for their updated CVs and their continued interest in serving as a doctoral program faculty member. Doctoral studies program faculty are expected to keep a record of their scholarly work current and available preferably through the on-line CSUSB phone directory (for example, http://acm.csusb.edu/facultydb/coe/Faculty.aspx?id=144).

Alumni Information
https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/requirements/dissertation-topics

The following link will take you to useful information regarding the CSUSB Ed.D. Alumni. It is wise to peruse the list of alumni and their dissertation topics to find alumni who have similar research inquiries. You can learn a great deal by reading their dissertations and possibly conducting your own study based on their suggestions for future research. You might consider contacting alumni to serve on your committee or to offer mentoring support.
THE DISSERTATION CONVERSATION
Establishing a Research Topic and Working with a Committee

Choosing a Topic & Refining a Topic

Choosing a topic for a dissertation project is a process rather than an instant decision. It involves participating in various forms of conversation that gradually shape up the topic into a format that makes possible a significant investigation.

Identify a Field of Interest
The first focus of these conversations should be on identifying a field of interest.

Identify a Topic within the Field
Within this field of interest it is likely that there will be a range of specific topics that you are interested in. To follow them all can amount to a lifetime’s work, so it is necessary to narrow them down and select a particular focus for one study (if you intend to graduate).

Identify a Specific Problem
Once you have narrowed down the field of study to a specific area, the next step is to identify a specific problem that can be addressed through empirical study. Not all problems can be addressed empirically so it is important to be careful here.

Construct a Research Question
An identified problem leads to the asking of a question that will form the basis of inquiry. This is your research question. It may have several sub-questions that together lead you to being able to answer the main question.

Decide How You Will Collect Data to Answer the Question
Then you have to identify a process of collecting data that will be relevant to addressing that question. All this is necessary before you start to collect the data. It is not a simple straightforward process of decision-making that can be done in half an hour. Often it contains many false starts, revisions, gradual refinements over time, and last minute alterations in the process of writing the final document. But the process has to start somewhere and it often requires patience to stay with it through its twists and turns and through the surmounting of unexpected obstacles.

A Conversation

In practice, the process described above does not happen in one person’s mind in isolation, even when, in the final written version, it may look so. The topic actually develops in conversation with others.

One conversation that students need to become familiar with is the one that is taking place in the literature in a field of interest. Before writing something into this conversation you should take care to read what others are saying in this conversation. Your topic will usually be in some way a response to what others are saying. It is extremely rare for a student, or even a professor, to initiate a brand new conversation. Eventually your reading of this conversation will feed into
your literature review. And you have to first demonstrate that you are listening to what others are saying, before you can earn the right to contribute your two cents worth.

Another conversation you need to participate in is with prospective committee members. In order to work with your committee, it is important to find people that you can work with because they hold similar interests. They may have programs of research they are engaged in that you can participate in.

Conversations in class with other students and in class assignments can also help develop your topic as well.

When you have settled on a field of study and are refining a problem into a research question, expect to have a series of conversations with your committee in order to refine the study into a research question that can be investigated. This conversation is often not a brief step that can be quickly accomplished so that the ‘real’ work can begin. It is a major part of the ‘real’ work and sometimes amounts to half of the exercise. It is often not complete until lots of reading into the literature has been done and sometimes the data collected itself requires further revisiting of the topic in the very process of data analysis.

**Forming a Committee**

Forming a committee for a dissertation project is critical for the successful completion of the project. It should, therefore, be approached with care and not rushed. Choosing a committee chair is particularly important since it is necessary to work closely with this person over time.

Forming a committee involves a two-way contract and both parties need to be conscious of the implications of making a commitment to your project. Remember that being a committee chair is a substantial commitment on the part of a professor and it must fit with his or her own professional trajectory before he or she takes it on.

An ideal approach is to hold frank exploratory conversations with several possible committee chairs before making any commitment on either side. Of course, sometimes there are not many options within your field of study and the choice appears more obvious. But even then, an exploratory contracting conversation is advisable.

The following are some suggested questions that might serve as an agenda for such a conversation

**To the Student**

a) What is your field of interest? Why are you interested in that? How has this interest developed?
b) How does that interest relate to your previous experience (personal or professional)?
c) What have you read about this topic so far?
d) Where might that topic lead you in future? What might be the eventual value to you of studying this topic?
e) How much do you know about my work in this area?
f) Why are you approaching me?
g) When do you plan to complete this project?
h) How does this project fit into other things happening in your life (work, family, other commitments) that may compete for your attention?
i) What is your style of working? How might this kind of project stretch you beyond what you have done in the past and demand that you develop new ways of working?
j) How would you assess your own writing skills?
k) What specific kinds of help do you anticipate you might need from your committee in relation to this project?
l) How will you allocate time to this project? How will you use the summer to advance the project?
m) How much do you prefer to work closely with your committee or independently?
n) Do you prefer a highly structured or more easygoing, casual approach to supervision?
o) Do you have any plans to move to a different area before the dissertation/thesis is complete?

To the Professor

a) Here is what I am interested in … Is that something that interests you? Does it connect with what you have worked on in the past (either in your own research or with other students)?
b) What have you written in this area that I could read?
c) Do you have a program of research that you are pursuing that I could join in?
d) How many dissertations, theses or research projects have you chaired or been a committee member for in the past?
e) How many other students’ committees are you currently involved in? Do you have the time to commit to mine?
f) What is your style of supervision? How do you prefer to work with students working on a project?
g) How do you try to balance the provision of encouragement and critique of student work?
h) How much help can you offer me, on the basis of past experience, with regard to specific areas (conceptual; theoretical; data collection methods; data analysis methods; thesis/dissertation writing) for this topic?
i) Are there gaps in what you can offer me that might guide my choices of other committee members?
j) What other students have you worked with who could help me understand whether your style of working will suit me?
k) When I submit drafts of my work to you, how long should I expect to wait for feedback?
l) How often do you prefer to meet? Where? What sort of meetings do you hold with students? Whose responsibility is it to set the agenda? Who should take notes or minutes?
m) Do you prefer a highly structured or more easygoing, casual approach to supervision?
n) Do you have any upcoming sabbatical or other leave plans or trips away I should know about? What is your availability during the summer?
o) Do you know any sources of funding that might support my study?
p) How much experience do you have with the IRB process at this university? Are you willing to come with me to an IRB meeting if necessary?
q) I am considering also inviting Dr X. to be on my committee. Do you work well with him or her? What do you think of this choice? Are there any advantages in choosing someone else? Who would you recommend?
r) If things change, for whatever reason, can we renegotiate our commitment?
What you can expect of a committee

You will work most closely with your committee chair (sometimes this will also include a co-chair) who will supervise the development of the dissertation proposal, an application to the IRB for ethical approval (if required), and the writing of the various chapters of the final study.

Other members of your committee may be more or less closely involved in the process according to their interest and your need.

For a doctoral dissertation all members of the committee will be involved in reading and assessing your literature review and deciding whether or not you are ready to proceed to the qualifying exam. They will collectively be responsible for writing your qualifying exam and for assessing it.

When the dissertation is complete, all members of the committee will be involved in reading your study and assessing it. For a doctoral dissertation this will also involve the committee being present for your Oral Defense.

You Can Expect the Following from your Committee Chair

- be available for regular meetings to supervise and guide your progress
- discuss with you the appointment of other committee members
- assign you tasks to complete
- read the work you submit within a reasonable timeframe
- provide encouragement and helpful feedback
- make suggestions for macro-editing and sometimes micro-editing
- be honest with you if your work is not adequate
- attend a meeting with the IRB alongside you (if required)
- act as your academic advisor through a doctoral degree
- attend to administrative responsibilities within the university
- treat you and your views with respect
- listen to and address any concerns you express
  - about the process
  - about the relationship between you and any committee members (including the chair)
  - about any judgments of your work made by committee members.

You Cannot Expect the following from your committee

- find literature for you
- collect the data for you
- write pieces of the study for you
- copy edit your dissertation
- automatically agree with your views or conclusions about the data
• grant you a passing grade for your project if it is not complete or adequate
• drop his/her other responsibilities in order to read your work immediately
• provide feedback within impossibly short timeframes

**You Can Expect Your Committee Members to Do the Following**

• be available for consultation about specific areas of your work in which they have expertise
• read the work you submit within a reasonable timeframe
• provide encouragement and helpful feedback
• be honest with you if your work is not adequate
• treat you and your views with respect

**Your Committee Can Expect the Following of you**

• take primary responsibility for your own project
• be available to meet with your chair and committee
• attend supervision meetings prepared to address issues you are encountering
• bring an agenda to meetings with your committee and take your own notes of what comes out of the meeting
• make yourself familiar with university and college deadlines and requirements for project presentation and formatting
• type, copy edit, and spell-check your own work
• give your committee time to read at least two drafts of your dissertation, thesis or project
• follow through on what you say you will do
• respond to feedback
• establish your own timetable for completion
• meet deadlines

**Disputes with Committees**

From time to time issues can arise that lead to conflict between students and committee members. It is understandable that this can happen because dissertations projects often involve things that both students and faculty are passionate about and have personal commitment to. Differences can arise on ideological or methodological grounds, which can necessitate a change in the make-up of the committee, including the chair. Changes can also result from student decisions to change direction and alter the topic to an extent that different faculty expertise needs to be called upon.

It is therefore not impossible for a committee to be changed. However, while the student needs to have an important say in and can initiate such a change, it also needs to be recognized that a committee is appointed in the end by the Director or Coordinator of the program and the Dean. They therefore have the final say about such a change.
There are some reasons why change of committee would not be justified. Objecting to a chair or committee member insisting on high academic standards, for example, is not a good reason for a committee change.

Nor should the decision to change a committee be taken hastily or without sufficient discussion. Students should recognize that changes in committee personnel have implications for faculty members as well as for themselves. Timely progress toward project completion is also very likely to be impeded by changes to the makeup of a committee – another reason why the decision should not be taken hastily and lightly.

A process of decision-making should be involved rather than simple action on a spur-of-the-moment decision. Students should be expected to discuss with a professor the reasons for their concerns and both the student and the professor should be expected to attempt to address these concerns without disrupting committee membership. If this effort is not successful then the next step should be to involve the program director or coordinator or the department chair.

Reference
Dissertation Defense Protocol

The Candidate, Dissertation Defense and room location are announced one week prior to the event. This announcement is made to Doctoral faculty, College of Education faculty, doctoral students, and the campus via email. The announcement appears outside the Office of Doctoral Studies.

The Moderator seats committee and candidates at the table. Usually the chair or committee member serves as Moderator.

Distribution of the Brochure: The brochure gives the dissertation title, abstract, and a brief bio about the candidate. The Chair and committee members are listed.

Welcome: The Moderator introduces the Chair and committee members and the candidate. Observers are given instructions.

Dissertation defenses are open to any interested member of the academic or professional community (other program or non-program faculty members, other program students or graduates, and so on). Only the committee members and the graduate studies dean are allowed to ask the candidate questions following the defense. Others in attendance are only allowed to observe the presentation. Guests, adult friends or family members may attend, but this should be approved by the committee chair ahead of time. The doctoral studies office must be informed at least one week ahead of time of the numbers of guests who will attend.

It is not the purpose of the presentation to substitute for the committee’s reading. The committee has already read the dissertation document and given detailed written feedback. The Dissertation Defense is to demonstrate that the candidate can speak to what he or she did.

1. Presentation of Dissertation by Candidate: (30) Minutes
The Candidate is expected to provide a concise description of the Dissertation. In doing so, the Candidate is expected to describe:
   a. The problem examined and its importance
   b. The research methodology (e.g., sample, data collection, data analysis)
   c. The findings of the study
   d. Study conclusions and implications

2. Questions Asked by Dissertation Committee Members: (35) minutes
Dissertation Committee Members ask the Candidate questions that they consider warrant discussion with the Candidate prior to approval of the Dissertation. These questions may pertain to such matters as why particular approaches were or were not taken by the Candidate, the meaning of the data contained in the Dissertation, or the relationship of the Dissertation findings to other studies.

3. Concluding Statement by Candidate: (5) Minutes
During this period, the Candidate may be asked to make concluding comments. This is an opportunity for the Candidate to clarify unresolved issues. The Candidate may wish to return to specific questions asked by Committee members if he or she has more information to add.
In addition, the concluding statement might address areas for future research. As applicable, this might relate to both (a) further analyses to address issues raised by the Committee and (b) additional questions for study that derive from the Dissertation research and which the Candidate considers important. Finally, the Candidate should end with a brief concluding statement. It should summarize the significance of the dissertation in terms of the contribution it makes to the area of study.

4. Discussion by Dissertation Committee Members (20 Minutes)
Committee Members discuss the Candidate's Dissertation and defense of it and will vote on whether to (a) approve it, (b) approve it with minor modifications, or (c) request additional work on it. Approval of the Dissertation (a or b) requires a unanimous vote of the three or four Committee Members. In the event that additional work is needed, an MOU or similar written agreement should be considered. The Committee's decision will be communicated immediately to the Candidate.

NOTE: The moderator is not expected to read the dissertation. The moderator performs the tasks of seating and instructing observers, announcing the segments of the event and serves as the time keeper. The moderator does not ask questions of the candidate nor does the moderator participate in the final discussion where the committee makes a decision, either signing off on the completion or writing a Memorandum of Understanding outlining further work needed.

**Dissertation Proposal Decision**

The proposal is typically the first three chapters of the dissertation in draft form, which constitutes the foundation for the dissertation study. The dissertation proposal will be submitted to the student’s dissertation committee. The chair will convene the dissertation committee. The examination will consist of an oral discussion about the proposal which includes a definition of the topic, research design, and initial data collection instruments in addition to a timeline for completion. This information should be included visually for a presentation. The committee will make suggestions at the time of the examination for refinement of the research topic, question, design and data collection tools.

If the majority of committee members feel that the student has met the standard for proceeding, then the committee will signify this by signing the Dissertation Proposal Decision form. If the committee feels that the study is not appropriate or well defined or that the student is not adequately prepared to complete the study, the student may be required to resubmit and present the proposal again at a date determined by the committee.

The committee will review the proposal based on the following criteria:

1) Definition of educational issue
2) Use of professional literature
3) Research design
4) Plan for completion

The details of each criteria is located on the reverse side of the decision form. The committee will rate the proposal as: a) approved as written; b) approved with minor revisions; or c) revise
and resubmit. The form will be signed by the student, chair and committee, and the Program Director. Under no circumstances should a student proceed with the collection of their data until:

a) their dissertation proposal has been approved by their committee as evidenced by the Proposal Decision Form signed by all parties concerned and submitted to the doctoral studies office, and

b) they have received an official approval letter from the IRB and that letter has been submitted to the doctoral studies office.

**Report of the Dissertation Defense/Final Examination**

This form is filled out after the adequacy of the dissertation has been completed. Generally, this form is signed at the time of the Dissertation Defense. The dissertation will either be approved with commendation to be conferred, approved to be conferred, approved with conditions, or disapproved. The form will be signed by the student, committee chair and all members, Program Director, and the Dean of the College of Education. The Committee Certification Form is also required at the time the dissertation is submitted and is the responsibility of the student to obtain and submit this form.

**Adequacy of the Dissertation**

This form may be attached to the Report of the Dissertation, showing the Quality Indicator for each section. There is no signature required for this form.

The three-chapter model for the proposal and the five-chapter model for the dissertation are the preferred models.
ROLE OF THE ADVISOR

The student is expected to meet with the advisor by the end of the fall and spring quarters of the first year. The following information can be used to guide the advisor-advisee conversation. The advisor will support the student by:

First Year Fall Quarter
- helping the student solidify plans for digitizing the portfolio and reviewing program plans
- reviewing the milestone checklist with the student
- supporting any student questions regarding the Ed.D. Guidelines

First Year Spring Quarter
- having conversations with the student about areas of research interests
- exploring with the student possible alignment with CSUSB Alumni research
- exploring with the student possible community partners on such research
- exploring with the student the Ed.D. program faculty for serving as chair on the student’s dissertation committee
- reviewing with the student the Dissertation Committee Approval Process
- reviewing and signing the student’s year 1 annual review and portfolio form and submitting to the doctoral studies office
- reviewing and signing the student’s first year program plan and submitting to the doctoral studies office
ROLE OF THE DISSERTATION CHAIR

The dissertation chair must come from the list of CSUSB Ed.D. faculty. The dissertation chair receives three units after the student graduates. The chair cannot accumulate more than six units in an AY for committee service. The chair must consult with the program director and the department chair and gain approval regarding the AY and quarter(s) they intend to use the units awarded. While the amount of hours of service as chair will vary, the general commitment each year will average at 20 hours. Of course there may be circumstances or factors that make it difficult to predict the precise average hours of service. Once the chair and the student have come to a mutual agreement to serve as chair, and the Dissertation Committee Approval Form has been signed, the chair is asked to support the doctoral student by:

- exploring some potential committee members (those who hold their doctoral or terminal degrees and can contribute in some way to the doctoral student’s dissertation work). Committee members do not have to come from the CSUSB Ed.D. program faculty list and they can come from faculty across the CSU campuses and the community.

- reinforcing the importance of following appropriate protocol for establishing the student's dissertation committee and communicating with any other faculty member they have interviewed as possible members on their committee

- exploring some potential workshops/conferences/webinars/educational organizations/educational journals that might provide additional resources for the student's intellectual and personal growth (go to https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/resources/student-support-scholarly-opportunities)

- exploring some scholarship/funding/award opportunities and developing a plan for completing the application(s) (go to https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/resources/student-support-scholarly-opportunities)

- reviewing and completing the Ed.D student/faculty research and travel application, and the graduate studies research and travel application once a research plan and scholarly opportunities have been identified (go to https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/resources/student-support-scholarly-opportunities)

- supporting the student’s portfolio development (examples on Blackboard Community of Practice)

- conducting the student’s year 2 and year 3 program plan, annual review and portfolio evaluation

- signing all doctoral program forms when applicable

- supporting the development of the potential direction for the student's "research inquiry" as well as the research question(s)

- exploring potential problems of practice in the school/organization/community that might provide setting and context for the student's inquiry, while presenting the possibility of "transformation" because of the student's inquiry

- discussing potential "products" or "deliverables" that might emerge from the student's inquiry (post-dissertation) that might serve to transform the context(s) or setting(s) associated with the student's research inquiry
• ensuring that the student defend their dissertation proposal first with approval from all committee members before submitting their IRB application for approval

• thoroughly reading the student's IRB application, providing suggestions for corrections/modifications, and ensuring the student includes the dissertation proposal form with committee signatures before you sign and the student submits it for review

• letting the Doctoral Studies Office know when the student should be enrolled in their EDUC 799 Dissertation Units (16 units total)

• letting the Doctoral Studies Office know two quarters in advance when the student expects to graduate

• ensuring the student submits final dissertation in to TurnItIn, reviewing the report with the student, and sending an e-mail to graduate studies letting them know you have reviewed the TurnItIn report with the student

• ensuring that the writing of the dissertation is of high quality and free from grammatical and syntax errors before submission to ScholarWorks
ROLE OF THE DISSERTATION COMMITTEE MEMBER

The dissertation committee member receives one unit after the student graduates. The committee member cannot accumulate more than six units in an AY for committee service. The committee member must consult with the program director and the department chair and gain approval regarding the AY and quarter(s) they intend to use the units awarded. While the amount of hours of service as the committee member will vary, the general commitment each year will average at 7 hours. Of course there may be circumstances or factors that make it difficult to predict the precise average hours of service. Once the committee member and the student have come to a mutual agreement to serve on the student’s committee and the Dissertation Committee Approval Form has been signed, the member is asked to support the doctoral student by:

- Reviewing the literature review of the dissertation and offering feedback
- Preparing some qualifying exam questions based on the literature review upon the request of the dissertation committee chair
- Reviewing chapters 1-3 of the dissertation upon the request of the chair
- Attending a dissertation proposal defense session
- Reviewing chapters 1-5 of the dissertation upon the request of the chair
- Attending a dissertation defense session
- Signing all doctoral program forms when applicable
MILESTONE GUIDANCE

Advisor Meeting

You are expected to meet with your advisor by the end of the fall quarter of your first year. During this meeting you need to solidify your plans for digitizing your portfolio, review the CSUSB Ed.D. checklist, review the Doctoral Program Guidelines, sign the last page of these guidelines, and submit to the Doctoral Studies Office. Also, begin having conversations with your advisor about your overall areas of research interests, including but not limited to, collaborating with community partners on such research, and formulating your doctoral studies committee by the end of the Spring quarter first year.

Program Plan

Students must submit their signed program plan and update that plan annually. Students must submit their updated signed program plan to the doctoral studies office each year. They may request a change in their Program Plan in writing, stating the nature of the change requested and the reasons the change is necessary. Such changes must be recommended by the Student’s Academic Advisor/Chair and approved by the Director.

The student and advisor or chair will sign the plan and submit the original to the Office of Doctoral Studies.

Literature Review

Work on the literature review should begin in the first year and be ongoing. When a doctoral faculty member agrees to serve as the student’s chair, the student and chair will focus on the literature review. The chair will determine when the literature is adequate for committee members to develop the qualifying exam questions. The chair determines when the student can write the exam. After the exam is written the Chair and Committee will (1) evaluate the student’s literature review using a rubric (2) once the student’s literature review has been approved: write the student’s qualifying examination questions, and (3) grade the student’s response to the qualifying examination questions.

Qualifying Examination Process

Each examination will be set by the Committee, once the literature review is approved.

The committee will 1) evaluate the student’s critical review of the literature using the literature review rubric; 2) write the student’s qualifying examination questions; and 3) evaluate the student’s responses to the qualifying examination questions using the qualifying examination rubric.

The examination will be based on the student’s literature review and students will have 3 ½ hours to complete the entire proctored examination (closed book) on a computer. The Office of Doctoral Studies will schedule a location for the exam.
A majority agreement of the committee is required for the student to pass. In the event that a student fails or otherwise does not complete the qualifying paper, he/she will have one more opportunity to retake the Qualifying Examination at a time set by the committee in consultation with the Director of the Ed.D.

Upon successful completion of the Qualifying Examination the Office of Doctoral Studies will send the updated program plan to The Office of Records, Registration and Evaluation and the student will Advance to Candidacy.

The qualifying examination needs to be completed prior to the end of the spring quarter, Year 2 for the student to be ‘on track’ to complete the doctorate in three years with a December graduation. The literature review and qualifying exam forms are to be signed by the student, all committee members, and the director.

**Portfolio Evaluation**

Students are reminded to select required and optional artifacts from each course for submission to their Portfolio. Each Portfolio will contain the following elements:

2. Current (updated) resume.
3. Examples of coursework reflecting the Student Learning Outcomes and core concepts (e.g., papers submitted, tests completed, projects completed, etc.) with an indication of how each element submitted is relevant to their dissertation topic and research activities.
4. Reflection as to how the artifact selected for each course offers evidence of learning based on one or two specific SLOs for that course.
5. Summary of research and dissertation activities. Students should submit a summary (no longer than one page for each element submitted) as to work they have completed on their dissertation. Organization of this section of the portfolio should align with the dissertation chapters: a) Research Question; b) Literature Review; c) Methodology; d) Results; and, e) Conclusions. The portfolio, over its development, should provide longitudinal evidence of activities related to completion of the dissertation. Additionally, students may also submit a summary regarding any research activities that maybe in addition to their dissertation.

Additionally, students may include artifacts of the following:

6. Conference participation and/or presentations
7. Manuscript/publications
8. Awards/honors
9. Additional noteworthy course work/projects
10. Professional work samples

Portfolios are to be submitted each summer quarter for evaluation (give to your advisor or chair at the beginning of the summer- if your chair is going to be gone for the summer, communicate with them and make sure you give it to them for evaluation before they leave.) It is the student’s responsibility to ensure that Portfolios are created and maintained throughout the year.
Annual Student Review

Students will be evaluated and provided with feedback annually. Feedback is to be delivered by the faculty member serving as the student’s Advisor the first year and the student’s Chair the following years. Meetings need to be conducted by the end of the Spring term of each year.

Annual Student Review form reports the students’ GPA total units completed and outlines the rating scale.

Any grades lower than a B will be entered onto the form. The Advisor/Chair will complete the form, using the scale as defined on the form (1 = Not Acceptable, 2 = Below Expected, 3 = As Expected, 4 = Above Expected and 5 = Outstanding). Any rating below 3 or above 4 should be supported by documentation or reason for that score. In a manner consistent with the four performance categories rated on this form (Academics, Research Progress, Application of Learning to Practice, and Progress with Milestone Events), a global rating also will be given at the bottom of the review form. One of four global evaluative labels will be used: Excellent; Good; Requires a Doctoral Progress Plan/Assessment Plan; Doctoral Progress Plan Goals Not Met – Grounds for Dismissal. Any global rating of less than Good would result in a follow-up progress report at approximately six months. No global rating of Doctoral Progress Plan Goals Not Met – Grounds for Dismissal, may be used unless the student has previously received a global rating of Requires a Doctoral Progress Plan.

If a student receives a C grade or a rating Requires a Doctoral Progress Plan/Assessment Plan rating, a plan will be developed and implemented, and the student will again be evaluated in January of the next Academic Year. If the student is still not Good/Satisfactory, the committee may move to a global rating of Doctoral Progress Plan Goals Not Met – Grounds for Dismissal.

It is assumed that discussion of each individual student will not take long if progress is satisfactory; however, if the student’s evaluation on the Doctoral Progress Plan is not Excellent or Good specific description of what aspects of their performance deemed inadequate is noted.

The evaluation ratings with any accompanying notes or documentation should be shared with the student within two weeks of the evaluation meeting. At this time, the Advisor/Chair and the student will work together to develop a Doctoral Progress Plan which identifies specific directions and behavioral goals with a time line for completion. The student and advisor/chair will each sign the Doctoral Progress Plan and it will also be submitted to the Office of Doctoral Studies for approval.

For any student requiring a Doctoral Progress Plan, a formal guidance committee will be assembled. This committee will consist of the student’s Advisor/Chair, the Ed.D. Program Director and at least one other Ed.D. faculty member who has the student in class or is part of the student’s plan for addressing the identified gaps or deficiencies in their overall progress. This committee will convene at approximately six months following the initial evaluation to re-evaluate the student’s progress. The student will be invited to participate in this review. The Annual Student Review Form is to be signed by students, advisor/chair.
Dissertation Process

Ed.D. students are required to complete a dissertation. The primary goal of the dissertation is to generate knowledge that contributes to the understanding of educational leadership practices, policies, reforms or improvements. The Ed.D. dissertation constitutes a significant scholarly work or program review set in a scholarly context that applies rigorous research methods in the study of community problems of practice. The dissertation proceeds from a cohesive theoretical framework and includes a comprehensive review of relevant literature. The dissertation also includes an in-depth presentation of data, qualitative and/or quantitative, and a thorough analysis of these data. The dissertation advances an interpretation of the findings, a discussion of their significance/implications for practice, and an indication of important areas for further research.

The student will be enrolled in a total of sixteen dissertation units. Normally students will be enrolled in four units each quarter for four quarters. If the student is not prepared to graduate during the fourth quarter, they will be enrolled in Independent Study units. Accommodations can be made for students’ planning to graduate early.

There are two oral defenses associated with the dissertation, the dissertation proposal defense and the dissertation defense. The Final Dissertation Defense is an important event demonstrating the doctoral candidate’s scholarly grasp of the chosen research area and a time to present their original contribution.

Generally, the Final Dissertation Defense consists of two major parts:

1. A public presentation of the purpose(s), method(s) of study, synthesis of findings, analysis, and conclusion by the student.
2. A question and answer period involving all members of the Dissertation Committee.

The Dissertation Defense is scheduled and announced as a public presentation to which all students, faculty, and guests are invited.

The evaluation of the Final Dissertation Defense is the responsibility of the student’s Dissertation Committee. After reviewing the student’s Final Dissertation Defense according to the Final Dissertation Defense Rubric, the Dissertation Committee either approves the defense or recommends further work needed to meet Doctoral Program standards.

After a successful Final Dissertation Defense, the student submits any required final written revisions to the Dissertation Chair for final approval. The student must follow the Graduate Studies Guidelines:


Videotapes of previous CSUSB doctoral students’ defenses are held in the doctoral studies office for students’ review. A template for the five-chapter dissertation, examples of dissertation proposal presentations, dissertation defense brochures, and dissertation defense presentations are on the Blackboard Community of Practice site.
The committee will consist of three committee members: a chair and two members, or two co-chairs and one member. All committee members must hold a doctoral degree or an equivalent degree. Committees must consist of two CSUSB faculty members and one external member. The committee chair must be a CSUSB Ed.D. program faculty member. Committee members may be chosen from the Ed.D. program faculty and from other institutions/organizations provided that the individual holds their doctoral or terminal degree. Students are strongly encouraged to select a scholar-practitioner to serve as the external member.

A list of CSUSB Ed.D. program faculty can be found here: https://coe.csusb.edu/doctorate-educational-leadership/program-overview/faculty-alumni.

Please make sure during the process of selecting your dissertation committee that:

- you consult with your advisor regarding an appropriate chair for your committee.
- you meet with the faculty member(s) who you and your advisor have agreed might be a good fit as your chair.
- you gain approval from the faculty member to serve as your chair.
- you gain that faculty member's signature on the dissertation committee form who has agreed to serve as your chair.
- you communicate with any other faculty member that you might have interviewed to serve as your chair and let them know that you have made another selection.
- you consult with that faculty member who has agreed to serve as your chair regarding two additional members to serve on your committee.
- you meet with the faculty members you and your chair have selected to gain their acceptance to serve on your committee.
- you gain these two members' signatures on the dissertation committee form.
- you communicate with any other faculty member that you might have interviewed to serve on your committee to let them know that you have made another selection.
- you have your advisor's signature on the dissertation committee form as well acknowledging that you have consulted with her or him.
- you give the dissertation committee form with your signature, your advisor's signature, your chair's signature, and your two committee members' signatures to the doctoral studies office.

Only under rare circumstances should you decide to change your committee membership once the dissertation committee approval form has been signed. You must have written permission from the program director. If the program director gives you written permission to change your committee membership, it is your responsibility to inform the original committee member(s) that you are changing and complete a new form with signatures.

Please note that you must submit this Dissertation Committee Form with signatures to the Doctoral Studies Office in order to officially have a Dissertation Committee. The Dissertation Committee Approval form is to be signed by the student, advisor, dissertation chair and all committee members, and the Program Director.
CSUSB ED.D. MILESTONE CHECKLIST SPRING THIRD YEAR GRADUATION

Provide all signed forms with ** to the doctoral studies office to be placed in your folder. Keep a copy for your own file. Please note that students do not advance to candidacy until they successfully pass their qualifying exam.

**Fall, Year One**

___ Conversation with potential advisors, chair and committee members

___ Review program plan and program guidelines

___ Work on literature review of dissertation template (chapter 2)

___ Outline proposal (draft chapters 1-3) in EDUC 700

___ Create portfolio

** ___ Complete program plan & policy form

**Coursework:**

___ EDUC 700 Scientific Inquiry

___ EDUC 705 Organization and Administration of School Systems/Community Colleges or EDUC 760 Foundations of Community Colleges and Other Higher Education Systems

**Winter, Year One**

___ Work on literature identification, coding and review for dissertation template (chapter 2); use literature review rubric

___ Research and determine chair and committee members

___ Read The Dissertation Conversation

___ Update portfolio

**Coursework:**

___ EDUC 709 Diversity & Equity in Education

___ EDUC 707 Quantitative Methods

**Spring, Year One**

___ Work on literature identification, coding and review for dissertation template (chapter 2); use literature review rubric

___ Read The Dissertation Conversation

** ___ Update program plan and portfolio & submit for review by advisor/chair

** ___ Annual student review and portfolio submission one form

** ___ Dissertation committee procedures and approval form

**Coursework:**

___ EDUC 712 Qualitative Methods
EDUC 714 Educational Institutions as Cultural and Social Systems
EDUC 790-01 Research Seminar
Completed dissertation preproposal (chapters 1-3)

**Summer, Year One**

___ Work on literature identification, coding and review for dissertation template (chapter 2); use literature review rubric
___ Update portfolio

*Coursework:*
___ EADM 738 Assessment & Evaluation or EDUC 766 Assessment & Evaluation in HE
___ EDUC 702 Foundations in Education and Leadership
___ EDUC 790-02 Research Seminar

**Fall, Year Two**

___ Draft proposal to chair
___ Meet with chair regarding preparation for qualifying exam
___ Work on literature identification, coding and review for dissertation template (chapter 2); use literature review rubric
___ Take qualifying exam for spring graduation in year three; use qualifying exam rubric

**___ Literature review and qualifying exam form

*Coursework:*
___ EDUC 720 and/or 722 Advanced Quantitative or Qualitative Research Methods
___ EDUC 718 Strategic Planning, Accountability & Change or EDUC 762 HE Strategic Planning, Accountability & Change

**Spring, Year Two**

___ Work on literature identification, coding and review for dissertation template (chapter 2); use literature review rubric
___ Update portfolio
___ Update portfolio
___ Dissertation proposal (chapters 1-3) PPT presentation and IRB to committee

**___ Dissertation proposal decision form (students must present their proposal first to committee and gain approval before submitting the IRB application)

**___ Update program plan and portfolio & submit for review by advisor/chair

**___ Annual student review and portfolio submission two form

**___ IRB approval letter
Identify defense timelines for year three

**Coursework:**
- EDUC 7412: Leadership in Pupil Personnel Services OR
- EDUC 7420: Career and Technical Education Leadership in Community College
- ESPE 7906: Leadership in Program Development for Students with Disabilities
- EDDL 7904 Human Resources Development and Management
- EDDL 7905: Field-based Practicum in PK-20 Settings

**Summer, Year Two**
- Work on data collection of your dissertation (chapter 4)
- Update portfolio

**Coursework:**
- EDDL 7908: Ethical Leadership and Decision Making in Education
- EDDL 7903: Leadership and Fiscal Planning in Complex Organizations
- EDDL 7804: Dissertation Study

**Fall, Year Three**
- Program plan form updated
- Complete data collection and begin analysis of your dissertation (chapters 4)
- Submit Chapter 4 to Chair of Committee
- Update portfolio

**Coursework:**
- EDDL 7212: Public School Organization, Governance, and Policy OR
- EDDL 7220: Higher Education Organization, Governance and Policy
- EDDL 7804: Dissertation Study

**Spring, Year Three**
- Complete analysis of your dissertation (chapter 5)
- Submit Chapter 5 to Chair of Committee
- Update portfolio
- File a grad check
- Order cap & gown (approximately February)

**Coursework:**
- EDDL 7112: Instructional Leadership for Teaching and Learning in PK-12 OR
- EDDL 7120: Leadership for Teaching and Learning in Community College/Higher Education
____EDDL 7804: Dissertation Study

____Submit completed dissertation to committee

____Dissertation defense PPT

**____Dissertation defense brochure

**____Report of the dissertation/final examination dissertation defense form

**____Update program plan and portfolio & submit for review by advisor/chair

**____Annual student review and portfolio submission three form

____File a grad check

____Appointment set up with graduate studies coach

____Dissertation submitted through ScholarWorks:

____Exit survey

Graduation! June

Do not forget to file a grad check whenever you know the quarter you are graduating!!
Do not forget to order your cap and gown whenever you know the quarter you are graduating!!
You must complete all requirements, including defense and scholarworks submission of final document
before you can walk in commencement. You must be enrolled in the quarter that you officially submit and
complete this work but not necessarily when you walk in commencement.

Students/Chairs/Committee Members: The purpose of this checklist is to remind everyone of the
milestones and corresponding forms/documents that need to be submitted as a student moves through
the program. Meeting these milestones are required as are the corresponding forms/documents that
indicate that the student has fulfilled the requirement. The milestones are set for a December three
and one quarter graduation date. If a student hopes to graduate sooner, they will need to plan
accordingly while still meeting each milestone.

**These forms must be submitted to the doctoral studies office.
APPENDIX A:

Forms to Be Completed by Students and committee members

CSUSB Doctorate in Educational Leadership General Policies

Program Plan
Annual Student Review and Portfolio Evaluation
Dissertation Committee Approval and Dissertation Committee Approval Process
Literature Review and Qualifying Exam Evaluation
Dissertation Proposal Decision
Report of the Dissertation Defense/Final Examination
Adequacy of the Dissertation
CSUSB DOCTORATE IN EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP GENERAL POLICIES

- Students must read the complete policies and procedures included in the Doctoral Program Guidelines and follow the CSUSB policies and procedures as outlined in various locations on the CSUSB website.
- Students must follow their program plan.
- Students acknowledge that their summer courses must be taken over the summer.
- Students acknowledge that they must be continuously enrolled, including the summer, while they are working on their coursework and while they are working on their milestones.
- Students acknowledge that they must be enrolled during their third year of participation over the summer if they do not graduate in June of their third year.
- Students acknowledge that they must be enrolled during the quarter/semester that they defend their dissertation and meet all Doctoral Studies and Graduate Studies dissertation requirements.
- Students will not be allowed to take the Qualifying Exam until the appropriate forms have been completed and signed (Updated Program Plan with the URL to portfolio included, Dissertation Committee Approval Form with all signatures, Annual Student Review and Portfolio Evaluation Submission One Form).
- Students will not be allowed to present their dissertation proposal until the appropriate forms have been completed and signed (Updated Program Plan with the URL to portfolio included, Dissertation Committee Approval Form with all signatures, Annual Student Review and Portfolio Evaluation Submission One and Two Form).
- Students will not be able to defend their dissertation until the appropriate forms have been completed and signed (Updated Program Plan with the URL to portfolio included, Dissertation Committee Approval Form with all signatures, Annual Student Review and Portfolio Evaluation Submission One and Two and Three Form, Literature Review and Qualifying Exam Form, Dissertation Proposal Decision Form, IRB Approval Letter).
- Students must submit their signed (by all committee members) Dissertation Proposal Decision form before submitting their IRB application, and their signed Dissertation Proposal Decision form must also be included with their IRB application.
- Students acknowledge that they are responsible for reviewing all information and abiding by all policies associated with the IRB process, including such documents that are available on-line at https://irb.csusb.edu/ and sent via e-mail from the IRB compliance officer.
- Students acknowledge that any “mock” or “draft” IRBs submitted as part of a class assignment does NOT substitute for the actual IRB application submitted through the on-line Cayuse system to the CSUSB IRB.
- Students acknowledge that they must not begin the process of collecting their data until they have submitted their signed Dissertation Proposal Decision form to the doctoral studies office, received approval from the CSUSB IRB Committee, and that approval letter has been submitted to the doctoral studies office.
- Students acknowledge that if there are changes to their IRB protocol, no matter how small, they must submit a change of protocol addendum to IRB and receive approval prior to the start of any data collection associated with their study.
- All forms and approval letters mentioned above must have appropriate signatures and must be submitted to the doctoral studies office.
- The portfolio must be created using a web-based platform and that URL (and password if applicable) must be included on their program plan and portfolio evaluation form.

I agree to abide by the program plan, CSUSB and IRB policies, and the Doctoral Program Guidelines.

Student Signature Date Advisor Signature Date
Program Plan
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership
Cohort 13
Community College Specialization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Student ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Cohort #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisor/Chair</td>
<td>Admittance Bulletin Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio URL</td>
<td>Password</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Courses</th>
<th>Community College</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Qtr/Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 705 – Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 760 – Foundations of Community Colleges &amp; Other Higher Education Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 707 – Quantitative Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 709- Diversity and Equity in Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 712 – Qualitative Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 714 – Educational Institutions as Cultural &amp; Social Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 790-01 – Research Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 702 – Foundations in Education and Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 766 Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 790-02 – Research Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 720 - Advanced Quantitative Research Methods And/Or EDUC 722 - Advanced Qualitative Research Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Courses</td>
<td>PK-12</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Qtr/Yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 762 – Higher Education Strategic Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 7420 – Career and Technical Education Leadership in Community College</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPE 7906 – Leadership In Program Development for Students with Disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7904 – Human Resources Development and Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7905 – Field-based Practicum in PK-20 Settings</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7908 Ethical Leadership and Decision Making in Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7903 Leadership &amp; Fiscal Planning in Complex Organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 – Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7220 – Higher Education Organization, Governance, and Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7120 – Leadership for Teaching and Learning in Community College/Higher Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Signature ____________________________ Date ____________________________ Chair/Advisor Signature ____________________________ Date ____________________________

Advanced to Candidacy date:__________________________
# Program Plan
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership
Cohort 13
PK-12 Specialization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Student ID</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Cohort #</th>
<th>Advisor/Chair</th>
<th>Admittance Bulletin Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio URL</th>
<th>Password</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Courses</th>
<th>PK-12</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Qtr/Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 700 – Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 705 – Organization and Administration of School Systems/Community Colleges</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 707 – Quantitative Methods</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 709- Diversity and Equity in Education</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 712 – Qualitative Methods</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 714 – Educational Institutions as Cultural &amp; Social Systems</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 790-01 – Research Seminar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 702 – Foundations in Education and Leadership</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EADM 738 – Assessment and Evaluation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 790-02 – Research Seminar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 720 - Advanced Quantitative Research Methods And/or EDUC 722 - Advanced Qualitative Research Methods</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Courses</td>
<td>PK-12</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Qtr/Yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 718 – Strategic Planning, Accountability and Change</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 7412 – Leadership in Pupil Personnel Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPE 7906 – Leadership In Program Development for Students with Disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7904 – Human Resources Development and Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7905 – Field-based Practicum in PK-20 Settings</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7908 Ethical Leadership and Decision Making in Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7903 Leadership &amp; Fiscal Planning in Complex Organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 – Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7212 – Public School Organization, Governance, and Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7112 – Instructional Leadership for Teaching and Learning in PK-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDDL 7804 Dissertation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Signature ____________________________ Date ________________ Chair/Advisor Signature ____________________________ Date ________________

Advanced to Candidacy date: ____________________________

54
The following scale should be used to evaluate the global performance of the student in each of the categories indicated. Any ratings below 3 or above 4 should be supported by some documentation or reason for that score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Acceptable</td>
<td>Below Expected</td>
<td>As Expected</td>
<td>Above Expected</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Current GPA_________ Units Completed _________ Grades < B ____________
- Academics _________
- Research Progress _________
- Application of Learning to Practice _________

Progress with Milestone Events:

- Portfolio: Year I (Attach Completed Portfolio Evaluation and include score here) _________
- Literature Review (Check if successfully completed) _________
- Qualifying Examination (Check if successfully completed) _________
- Portfolio: Year II (Attach Completed Portfolio Evaluation and include score here) _________
- Dissertation Proposal and Oral Defense (Check if successfully completed) _________
- Portfolio: Year III (Attach Completed Portfolio Evaluation and include score here) _________
- Dissertation and Defense (Check if successfully completed) _________

Faculty Evaluation of Overall Progress toward Degree (check one):

☐ Excellent
☐ Good
☐ Requires Doctoral Progress Plan
☐ Doctoral Progress Plan Goals Not Met – Grounds for Dismissal

Student Signature __________________________ Date ____________

Chair/Advisor Signature __________________________ Date ____________
Portfolio Evaluation Form
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Name ___________________________ Student ID ___________________________
Email ___________________________ Cohort # ___________________________
Portfolio URL _____________________ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3 ☐

The chair needs to evaluate the student’s portfolio, utilizing the scale. Total overall student scales will range from 0-6. Circle scores. To be submitted each spring or summer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Demonstration of Student Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Quality of Research/Scholarship</th>
<th>Quality of Reflection and Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The elements selected precisely link to student learning outcomes and core concepts. Clear links are made between the elements, and demonstration of student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth.</td>
<td>The portfolio clearly demonstrates research activities and progress toward dissertation completion. The elements included are highly representative of where the student is in completing his/her dissertation given the time in the program.</td>
<td>The reflection shows thorough and rigorous analysis throughout. Clear links are made between the elements, significant use of data-driven study in research and dissertation activities, and clear demonstration of student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The elements selected link to student learning outcomes and core concepts. Although links are made between the elements and student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth the insight is limited.</td>
<td>The portfolio shows some indication of research activities and progress toward dissertation completion; however, these are not focused on the dissertation topic. The elements included indicate the student is not making adequate progress on his/her dissertation given the time in the program.</td>
<td>The reflection and analysis shows thorough and rigorous analysis in some but not all parts. Although links are made between the elements and there are examples of data-driven research and dissertation activities, student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth the insight is limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The elements selected are not linked to student learning outcomes or core concepts. Few links are made between the elements and demonstration of student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth.</td>
<td>The portfolio lacks evidence of research or scholarship. Insufficient progress on completing the dissertation is noted given the length of time involved in the program.</td>
<td>The reflection and analysis do not demonstrate thorough and rigorous analysis. Few links are made between the elements, there is limited data-driven application in research and dissertation activities, and there is not clear demonstration of student learning outcomes for core elements, students’ knowledge, skills and growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Score 2 - 1 - 0 2 - 1 - 0 2 - 1 - 0

Evaluator ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Student ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Dissertation Committee Approval
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Name ___________________________________________ Student ID _____________

Email _____________________________ Cohort # _____________

The committee must consist of one chair and two members or two co-chairs and one member. The following individuals have agreed and have been approved to serve on the Doctoral Dissertation Committee:

Dissertation Committee Chair:

Name ___________________________ Signature ___________________________ Date _____________

Dissertation Committee Members:

Name ___________________________ Signature ___________________________ Date _____________

Name ___________________________ Signature ___________________________ Date _____________

Advisor Signature: ___________________________ Date _____________

The student has consulted with me regarding their committee selection.

Student Signature: ___________________________ Date _____________

Approved by:

______________________________ Date _____________
Director, Ed.D. in Educational Leadership
DISSEYATION COMMITTEE APPROVAL PROCESS

There can be no more than three committee members. The committee must consist of one chair and two members, or two co-chairs and one member. All committee members must hold their doctoral degree or an equivalent degree. The committee chair must be on the list as a CSUSB Ed.D. program faculty member. Committee members may be chosen from the Ed.D. program faculty member list and/or from other institutions/organizations provided that the individual holds their doctoral or terminal degree. Students are strongly encouraged to select a scholar-practitioner to serve on their committee.

A list of CSUSB Ed.D. program faculty can be found in this Doctoral Program Guidelines.

Please make sure during the process of selecting your dissertation committee that:

- you consult with your advisor regarding an appropriate chair for your committee.
- you meet with the faculty member(s) who you and your advisor have agreed might be a good fit as your chair.
- you gain approval from the faculty member to serve as your chair.
- you gain that faculty member's signature on the dissertation committee form who has agreed to serve as your chair.
- you communicate with any other faculty member that you might have interviewed to serve as your chair and let them know that you have made another selection.
- you consult with that faculty member who has agreed to serve as your chair regarding two additional members to serve on your committee.
- you meet with the faculty members you and your chair have selected to gain their acceptance to serve on your committee.
- you gain these two members' signatures on the dissertation committee form.
- you communicate with any other faculty member that you might have interviewed to serve on your committee to let them know that you have made another selection.
- you have your advisor's signature on the dissertation committee form as well acknowledging that you have consulted with her or him.
- you give the dissertation committee form with your signature, your advisor's signature, your chair's signature, and your two committee members' signatures to the doctoral studies office.

Only under rare circumstances should you decide to change your committee membership once the dissertation committee approval form has been signed. You must have written permission from the program director. If the program director gives you written permission to change your committee membership, it is your responsibility to inform the original committee member(s) that you are changing and complete a new form with signatures.

Please note that you must submit this Dissertation Committee Form with signatures to the Doctoral Studies Office in order to officially have a Dissertation Committee. The Dissertation Committee Approval form is to be signed by the student, advisor, dissertation chair and all committee members, and the Program Director.
Literature Review and Qualifying Exam Evaluation
Advance to Candidacy
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Student Name ___________________________ ID# ______________________ Cohort _______

OVERALL LITERATURE ASSESSMENT (see rubric)

☐ 37-35 points - Accepted with commendation (nothing lower than a 2 in any category)
☐ 34-30 points - Accepted as Presented (nothing lower than a 2 in any category)
☐ 29-25 points - Accepted with Minor Exceptions (nothing lower than a 2 in any category)
☐ 24 points or less – Fail

OVERALL QUALIFYING EXAM ASSESSMENT (see rubric)

☐ 14-15 points - Accepted with Commendation
☐ 12-13 points - Accepted as Presented
☐ 9-11 points - Accepted with Minor Exceptions (Required score of 3 in each category)

☐ 8 points or less - Fail/Requires
☐ Additional Work/Re-take Exam

The members of the Doctoral Committee for the Literature Review and Qualifying Examination report that the candidate has completed all pre-dissertation requirements and has successfully completed the Qualifying Examination on ______________________(date). The committee recommends advancement to candidacy for the degree of Doctor of Education in Educational Leadership.

Committee Chair:

_________________________ ___________________________ ___________________
Name Signature Date

Committee Members:

_________________________ ___________________________ ___________________
Name Signature Date

_________________________ ___________________________ ___________________
Name Signature Date

Student Signature: ___________________________ Date ____________

Rubrics page 2 & 3
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coverage</td>
<td>A. Justified criteria for inclusion and exclusion from review</td>
<td>Did not discuss the criteria inclusion or exclusion</td>
<td>Discussed the literature included and excluded</td>
<td>Justified inclusion and exclusion of literature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Synthesis</td>
<td>B. Distinguished what has been done in the field from what needs to be done</td>
<td>Did not distinguish what has and has not been done</td>
<td>Discussed what has and has not been done</td>
<td>Critically examined the state of the field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Placed the topic or problem in the broader scholarly literature</td>
<td>Topic not placed in broader scholarly literature</td>
<td>Some discussion of broader scholarly literature</td>
<td>Topic clearly situated in broader scholarly literature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Placed the research in the historical context of the field.</td>
<td>History of topic not discussed</td>
<td>Some mention of history of topic</td>
<td>Critically examined history of topic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Acquired and enhanced the subject vocabulary</td>
<td>Key vocabulary not discussed</td>
<td>Key vocabulary defined</td>
<td>Discussed and resolved ambiguities in definitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Articulated important variables and phenomena relevant to the topic</td>
<td>Key variables and phenomena not discussed</td>
<td>Reviewed relationships among key variables and phenomena</td>
<td>Noted ambiguities in literature and propose new relationships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G. Synthesized and gained a new perspective of the literature</td>
<td>Accepted literature at face value</td>
<td>Some critique of literature</td>
<td>Offered new perspective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Methodology</td>
<td>H. Identified the main methodologies and research techniques that have been used in the field, and their advantages and disadvantages</td>
<td>Research methods not discussed</td>
<td>Some discussion of research methods used to produce claims</td>
<td>Critiqued research methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. Related ideas and theories in the field to research methodologies</td>
<td>Research methods not discussed</td>
<td>Some discussion of appropriateness of research methods to warrant claims</td>
<td>Critiqued appropriateness of research methods to warrant claims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Significance</td>
<td>J. Rationalized the practical significance of the research problem</td>
<td>Practical significance of research not discussed</td>
<td>Practical significance discussed</td>
<td>Critiqued practical significance of research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K. Rationalized the scholarly significance of the research problem</td>
<td>Scholarly significance of research not discussed</td>
<td>Scholarly significance discussed</td>
<td>Critiqued scholarly significance of research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rhetoric</td>
<td>L. Was written with a coherent, clear structure that supported the review</td>
<td>Poorly conceptualized, haphazard</td>
<td>Some coherent structure</td>
<td>Well developed, coherent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The column-head numbers represent scores for rating dissertation reviews on 3-point and 4-point scales (endnote 4 explains our choice of the two types of scales). Adapted from Doing Literature Review: Releasing the Social Science Research Imagination (p.27), by Christopher Hart, 1999, London, Sage Publications. Copyright 1999 by Sage Publications, Adapted by permission.
## QUALIFYING EXAM RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUALITY INDICATORS:</th>
<th>Accepted with Commendation, Exceptional Level of Scholarship</th>
<th>Accepted as Presented</th>
<th>Accepted with Minor Exceptions</th>
<th>One or more Elements Lack Quality</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The response is complete and presented within the allowable timeframe. The student demonstrates:
- Ability to define and defend a point of view.
- Ability to analyze, critique, and evaluate the problem, using appropriate literature.
- Ability to draw from literature to apply to a problem of practice (if applicable).

The writing:
- Is scholarly (i.e., the language is accurate, balanced, specific rather than overly general, tentative in stating conclusions, grounded in previous scholarship and evidence).
- Is direct and precise.
- Is clear and comprehensible, without excessive jargon.
- Paragraphs focus on a main point and all sentences within the paragraph relate to the point.
- Transition sentences are used to bridge main ideas.

Conforms to the guidelines for style as set forth in the most recent edition of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA Manual). This includes but is not limited to:
- Correct grammar and usage.
- Proper in-text citations for references, direct quotations, and paraphrasing.

By passing the qualifying exam a candidate demonstrates the readiness and competence needed to undertake dissertation level research and writing.
Dissertation Proposal Decision
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Name ___________________________  Student ID _______________________
Email ___________________________  Cohort # _______________________
Title of Proposal ___________________________  _______________________

The following members of the Dissertation Committee recommend that the following action be taken on the candidate’s dissertation proposal.

☐ Dissertation Proposal Approved: Proceed with Dissertation
☐ Dissertation Proposal Approved with Conditions/Recommendations
☐ Dissertation Proposal Disapproved: Re-submit Dissertation Proposal

Dissertation Committee Chair:

Name ___________________________  Signature _______________________
Date _______________________

Dissertation Committee Members:

Name ___________________________  Signature _______________________
Date _______________________

Name ___________________________  Signature _______________________
Date _______________________

Name ___________________________  Signature _______________________
Date _______________________

Name ___________________________  Signature _______________________
Date _______________________

Student Signature: ___________________________  Date __________  Amended _________

Approved by:

______________________________  _______________________
Director, Ed.D. in Educational Leadership  Date
## Dissertation Proposal
### Criteria and Standards for Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approved as written, all crucial elements present</th>
<th>Approved with minor revisions</th>
<th>Revise and resubmit, one or more elements lack quality or are missing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition of educational issue</strong></td>
<td>Proposal makes a convincing argument, including using multiple perspectives to explain the significance of the topic</td>
<td>Proposal generally makes a convincing argument but needs minor revisions in areas of argument, need to align with multiple perspectives, or the significance of the topic</td>
<td>Description of issue is, at times, vague, unsupported, and either very limited in scope or too broad to be reasonably researchable. One perspective dominates. The significance of the topic is unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of Professional Literature</strong></td>
<td>Literature is well chosen to explore the issue and present multiple perspectives that add to the significance of the issue.</td>
<td>Literature is missing minor element or needs additional perspectives to address the significance of the issue.</td>
<td>Literature use is evident, but either limited in the scope or perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Design</strong></td>
<td>The design addresses major components of the issue and is reasonable in terms of methodology and data instruments.</td>
<td>The design lacks minor elements of the issue to be reasonable in terms of methodology and data instruments that may be addressed with minor revisions</td>
<td>The design does not address all significant areas of the issue or attempts to do too much. Methodology and/or data collection instruments may not be appropriate for setting or researcher’s resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plan for Completion</strong></td>
<td>A realistic timeline for completion of data collection, analysis and writing of the dissertation is included.</td>
<td>Timeline is missing minor elements for completion of data collection, analysis and writing of the dissertation, that may be corrected with minor revisions</td>
<td>The timeline is either unrealistic in terms of time or resources required; or no timeline is included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Committee Decision</strong></td>
<td>Approval - May continue with dissertation work</td>
<td>Approval with necessary modifications</td>
<td>Lack of Approval – Must revise, resubmit and re-sit for examination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report of the Dissertation Defense/Final Examination

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Name _______________________________  Student ID ____________________

Email _______________________________  Cohort ______________________

Title of Dissertation ________________________________________________

The following members of the Dissertation Committee recommend that the following action be taken
On the candidate’s oral defense/final examination, held on __________________________ (date)

☐ Approved, with commendation, to be conferred ___________________________(Term/Year)

☐ Approved, to be conferred ____________________________________________ (Term/Year)

☐ Approved with following conditions/recommendations __________________________

☐ Disapproved

Dissertation Committee Chair:

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Dissertation Committee Co-Chair:

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Dissertation Committee Members:

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Name ________________________________________________________________
Signature ___________________________________________________________________________ Date ___________________________________________________________________________

Student Signature: ___________________________ Date ________________

Approved by:

Co-Director, Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Adequacy of Dissertation rubric next page
Adequacy of the Dissertation Rubric  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO  
Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

Name: ____________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUALITY INDICATORS DISSERTATION</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Approved with Commendation, Exceptional Level of Scholarship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Approved as Written, All Critical Elements Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Approved with Minor Revisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Revise and Resubmit, one or more Elements Lack Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Revise and Resubmit, one or more Elements Missing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chapter 1:  Introduction to the Study: Statement of the Problem (Overall Focus/Purpose)  
(EDUC799: 2-6 units once completed)

1.  How research topic was developed is explained.  
2.  Importance of the research question addressed.  
3.  Novelty/creativity/originality is evident in framing the question.  
4.  Objective(s) of the study clearly stated.  
5.  Question/problem is situated in context in which it is to be studied.  
6.  Significance of potential contribution to literature is plausibly argued.  
7.  Significance of potential contribution to schools or student achievement established.  
8.  Linkage between question/problem and method chosen is justified

Chapter 2: Review of Background Literature  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. Research is clearly placed in a research tradition.  
2. The appropriateness/adequacy of literature reviewed is considered.  
3. Clear links are made between theory and the problem as posed.  
4. Previous research relevant to the research question is critically evaluated.  
5. Problems or gaps in existing literature which this study will address are clearly identified.  
6. Review of current relevant research is extensive and in depth.  
7. The literature review is organized into a coherent and logical argument.  
8. The literature review establishes a convincing basis for the proposed study.  
9. “Stage is set” for the hypothesis(s) and/or the use of the literature within which the study is grounded.

Chapter 3: Design of study and methodology for research using inferential statistics and quantitative methods  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. Overall study design is appropriate for research question(s) posed.  
2. Research design is linked to the background literature.  
3. Research design and methodology is clearly presented and explained.  
4. Independent/dependent variables/other analytical approaches are relevant to research questions.  
5. Relevant ethical issues are clearly identified and addressed.  
6. Consideration of critical variables is adequate in scope.  
7. Method of sampling is clearly described.  
8. Instrumentation, equipment, materials and measurements used are well-chosen and adequate to the task.  
9. Choice of methods of analysis are soundly justified.  
10. Psychometric properties/operational concepts are defined (as appropriate)  
11. Description of the research design and method is complete.
Chapter 3: Design of study and methodology for research using qualitative methods.  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. Overall study design is appropriate for research question(s) posed.  
2. Research paradigm and research tradition is adequately explained and justified.  
3. Clear description of the researcher as a “credible witness”.  
4. Research design is linked to background literature.  
5. Research design and methodology are clearly presented and explained.  
6. Triangulation issues, where appropriate, are addressed.  
7. Data collection methods are clearly described.  
8. The criteria/process for selecting participants is appropriate and clearly explained.  
9. The role of the researcher in relation to participants is examined and explicated.  
10. Relevant ethical issues are clearly identified and addressed.  
11. The process of analyzing and generating meaning from the data is clearly explained.  
12. The process for keeping track of emerging understandings is described in detail.  

Chapter 4: Presentation of findings from quantitative research study.  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. Quantification efforts are adequate.  
2. Data is clearly, logically and economically presented and explained.  
3. Variations from the study as proposed are explained and justified.  
4. Data presented is linked logically to the research question(s).  
5. Data as presented advances an argument which is clearly laid out.  

Chapter 4: Presentation of findings from qualitative research study.  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. Data is handled with appropriate concern for accuracy and methodological rigor.  
2. Data is clearly, logically and economically presented and explained.  
3. Meanings generated are justified by the data.  
4. Where appropriate, the researcher is established as a “credible witness.”  
5. Variations from the study as proposed are explained and justified.  
6. Data presented are linked logically to the research question(s).  
7. Data as presented advances an argument which is clearly laid out.  

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations  
(EDUC 799: 2-6 units once completed)

1. The chapter begins with a brief overview of how and why the study was done, reviewing the questions or issues addressed and a summary of the findings.  
2. The interpretation of findings includes conclusions that address all the research questions or hypotheses with references to outcomes listed in Chapter 4..  
3. The argument of what has been established from the data is well-considered, justifiable, and presented in an appropriate tone.  
4. Generalizations, where indicated, are confined to the population from which the sample was drawn.  
5. Limitations of what can be claimed from the study are acknowledged and adequately considered.  
6. The study makes an original contribution to a field of knowledge and explains how it is original.  
7. Directions for future research are clearly explained.  

OVERALL PRESENTATION: STYLE AND FORMAT  
(EDUC 799: 16 total units)

The dissertation must conform to guidelines for style as set forth in the most recent edition of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA Manual). This includes, but is not limited to:  
1. Correct grammar, usage, punctuation, and spelling.  
2. Proper in-text citations for references, direct quotations, and paraphrasing.  
3. The reference list.  
4. All tables and figures  
5. Headings and sub-headings
APPENDIX B:

University Regulations Procedures and Contacts
ACADEMIC REGULATIONS

Dropping a Course After the Census Date
http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.

Extension of Time to Complete an Incomplete Grade
See http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.

Grade/Academic Grievance Procedures
See the policies and procedures for advising and academic services https://www.csusb.edu/advising/policies-procedures/grade-appeals

Graduation Requirements Check
Candidates for degrees to be awarded must request a graduation requirement check through the Office of the Registrar at least one quarter prior to the end of the term of their expected graduation. To avoid late fees, the grad check should be filed by the deadlines established by the Office of the Registrar at https://www.csusb.edu/registrar. Students not completing their degree in the term applied for will need to refile and pay for the later term. Access to registration for terms subsequent to the stated graduation term will not be granted until the graduation check is refiled.

Leave of Absence
Doctoral students must be continuously enrolled (including the summer) until all requirements for the degree are completed, including the qualifying exam and dissertation. See http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.

Probation and Dismissal
See http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures. See http://bulletin.csusb.edu/colleges-schools-departments/education/educational-leadership-technology/educational-leadership-edd/ for further information on doctoral studies graduation requirements.

Repeating a Course
See the http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.

Retroactive Withdrawal
See the http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.
Five Year Limit on Applicable Course Work
The doctoral program must be completed within a five-year period. See the http://gradstudies.csusb.edu/currentStudents/policiesProcedures/index.html for further information on policies and procedures.

Transferrable Course Credit
The program allows up to 12 units to be transferred in to the CSUSB Ed.D. Program, but it is up to the discretion of the Director. Units cannot be transferred in for Electives and units from any level lower than the doctoral level will not be accepted. Unless the course directly matches the doctoral level course content, transferable units will not be accepted. Decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis. Students must include the course syllabi and a brief rationale describing why they are requesting transferable units in to the program and submit those documents to the doctoral studies office. The Director(s) will make the final decision and notify the student. Decisions will be based on curricular alignment, core principles guiding the CSUSB Ed.D. Program, and competencies acquired in previously completed coursework.

Once the student enters the CSUSB Ed.D. program, they will not be allowed to take a course from another program to transfer those units for a course in the CSUSB Ed.D. program.
PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Professors, guided by a deep conviction of the worth and dignity of the advancement of knowledge, recognize the special responsibilities placed upon them. Their primary responsibility to their subject is to seek and to state the truth as they see it. To this end, professors devote their energies to developing and improving their scholarly competence. They accept the obligation to exercise critical self-discipline and judgment in using, extending and transmitting knowledge. They practice intellectual honesty.

As teachers, professors encourage the free pursuit of learning in their students. They hold before them the best scholarly and ethical standards of their discipline. Professors demonstrate respect for students as individuals and adhere to their proper roles as intellectual guides and counselors. Professors make every reasonable effort to foster honest academic conduct and to assure that their evaluations of students reflect each student’s true merit. They respect the confidential nature of the relationship between professor and student. They avoid any exploitation, harassment, or discriminatory treatment of students. They acknowledge significant academic or scholarly assistance from them. They protect their academic freedom. (FAM 501, Statement Concerning Professional Ethics and Responsibilities).

Plagiarism and cheating are violations of the Student Discipline Code (see Appendix of the CSUSB Catalogue and Programs) and may be dealt with by both the instructor and the Judicial Affairs Officer. Questions about academic dishonesty and the policy should be addressed to the Office of the Vice President, Student Affairs or the Dean of Graduate Studies. (FAM 820, Policy and Procedures Concerning Academic Dishonesty).

Please be sure to carefully review the following sections associated with student conduct (CSUSB College of Education Professional Expectations and Dismissal Procedures, Academic Regulations, and Doctoral Standards).

Please also be sure to carefully review the following CSUSB sites on student conduct and student dishonesty:

https://www.csusb.edu/student-affairs/policies-forms
https://www.csusb.edu/student-affairs/dean-students/student-conduct-and-ethical-development/student-academic-dishonesty
http://senate.csusb.edu/fam/policy/(fsd96-12.r2)academic_dishonesty.pdf
CSUSB COLLEGE OF EDUCATION PROFESSIONAL EXPECTATIONS AND DISMISSAL PROCEDURES

The faculty members in the College of Education are committed to holding our students accountable for exemplary ethical and professional dispositions and conduct. Academic dishonesty or an evidenced failure to exhibit dispositions consistent with the profession, are grounds for disciplinary action or dismissal from any COE program. In addition to other University policies for adherence to regulations for student conduct, the College of Education specifies further standards of integrity and professional dispositions.

**Academic Standards of Integrity**

Any form of cheating or plagiarism is incompatible with academic integrity and the expectations of those taking courses in the College of Education. Plagiarism is the act of presenting the ideas and writings of another person as one’s own. Cheating is the act of obtaining or attempting to obtain credit for academic work through dishonest, deceptive, or fraudulent means. Plagiarism and cheating include but are not limited to:

a. Representing the work of another person as one’s own either through the attempt to deceive or a failure to sufficiently document the original sources in one’s own work.
b. Copying, in part or in whole, from another’s test, software, or other evaluation instrument.
c. Submitting work previously graded in another course unless this has been approved by the course instructor or by departmental policy.
d. Submitting work simultaneously presented in two courses, including fieldwork observation hours, unless this has been approved by both course instructors or by the department policies of both departments.
e. Falsification of information or documents submitted for any university, college, program, or credential purpose.
f. Using or consulting during an examination sources or materials not authorized by the instructor.
g. Altering or interfering with grading or grading instructions.
h. Sitting for an examination by a surrogate, or as a surrogate.
i. Using unauthorized materials during an examination or assessment.
j. Falsification of any documents or assignments submitted to any instructor, such as but not limited to, fieldwork observation, fieldwork assignments, supporting documentation for fieldwork hours, fieldwork reports, evaluations and medical notes.
k. Falsifying or inventing information used in an academic exercise with the intent to suggest that the information or citation is legitimate.
l. Any other act committed by a student in the course of academic work which defrauds or misrepresents, including aiding or abetting in any of the actions defined above.

**Dispositional Standards and Conduct**

All degree and credential candidates are expected to exhibit professionalism and ethical conduct. In this case of teacher credential programs, this is an expression of the California Code of Regulations, Title 5 section 41100 which states that the teacher credential candidate must “demonstrate suitable aptitude for teaching in public schools” (b)(3) and that teacher
credential candidates “shall demonstrate personality and character traits that satisfy the standards of the teaching profession. The assessment of the candidate shall be made by the teacher education faculty of the campus, who may also consider information from public school personnel and others’ (California Code of Regulations, Title 5 section 41100 (b)(6)).

The CSUSB College of Education holds all degree, certificate, and credential candidates to the professional and ethical standards outlined below.

a. Adhere to local, state, federal laws, CA Education codes, and professional codes of ethics applicable to their field of study and practice.
b. Protect the privacy of those within the professional setting except in cases where the safety of another person is compromised by doing so. This includes protecting the privacy of others when using the internet and social media.
c. Exhibit a commitment to respect diversity and a willingness to serve, evidenced through behavior, the educational and developmental needs of students and community members irrespective of race, ethnicity, nationality, economic class, language, sex, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, religion, physical/mental ability, or age.
d. Promote the safety of all K-12 students and work to insure that all students are protected from harassment, discrimination, or bullying.
e. Promote the safety of professional colleagues, fellow CSUSB students, staff, and faculty and work to insure that they are protected from harassment, discrimination, and bullying.
f. Exhibit professional behaviors and attitudes such as respectful treatment of others at the university and in field settings, punctuality, exemplary attendance, adherence to deadlines, professional appearance, and working collaboratively with others.
g. Exhibit a willingness to accept feedback and change one’s behaviors to align with course or program expectations, dispositions, and professional standards.

Disciplinary Process
Whenever a faculty member, adjunct faculty, field supervisor, principal, resident teacher/supervisor (aka, CSUSB representative) has concerns regarding a student’s academic performance, conduct, or professionalism, the CSUSB representative should first attempt to meet with the student to resolve the concerns. If the concern cannot be resolved or is of a very serious nature, such as physical, sexual, or emotional harassment, the concern is referred to the program coordinator and department chair. At this time the student may also be referred to entities outside the College of Education (e.g., Student Affairs, Title 9, or campus police) as is appropriate or warranted.

If the above attempts by the CSUSB representative to address misconduct, unprofessionalism, or adherence to the program’s expected dispositions are unsuccessful, the program coordinator can request that the department chair form a Student Review Committee (SRC). The request is activated when the Student Conduct Referral is submitted to the department chair. Once the department chair receives the Student Conduct Referral, the chair has 10 working days to form the SRC. The SRC is composed of the program coordinator (who acts as chair) and at least two other faculty members not parties to the problem. If the program coordinator is involved in the situation beyond the role of coordinator and cannot be impartial, the department chair will appoint another faculty member to replace the coordinator.
Within 10 working days of being formed, the Student Review Committee reviews supporting
documentation and meets with the student(s) to hear all perspectives on the situation. During
this meeting, the committee will ask the student to attend as well as other parties involved in the
situation. The student may bring one representative to the meeting as well. After consideration
of the information, the Student Review Committee can recommend that no action be taken, that
the student(s) continue in the program with conditions (articulated in the Student Improvement
Plan outlined below), or be dismissed from the program.

**Decisions**

a. Continuation with Conditions: If the decision is to continue with conditions, the program
   coordinator works with the student to develop a *Student Improvement Plan*. The plan includes
   the following:

   i. detailed description of the concerns or misconduct;
   ii. description of any actions to be undertaken by the student;
   iii. deadline by which the student must demonstrate the required level of
       knowledge, skill, behavior, or ethical conduct;
   iv. a description of what type of evidence provided by the student would indicate that the
       concern has been addressed and student improvement has occurred;
   v. signature sheet signed by the department chair, program coordinator, and the student
       indicating agreement with the plan and that failure to complete plan may result in
       dismissal from the program.

The plan will be filed in the student’s file and, if appropriate, a hold placed upon the student’s
registration until the conditions outlined in the plan have been met. The program coordinator
shall, on or before the date specified in the plan for completion of the remediation, review
student progress based upon evidence provide by the student and/or a faculty member. One or
two actions must be taken to resolve the concern.

If the plan is met and the concern is alleviated, the student will be notified and no further action
will be taken.

If the concern is not alleviated as determined by the program coordinator or representative, the
Student Review Committee meets to consider further action. The Student Review Committee
can recommend (a) the creation of a new plan or (b) that the student be dismissed from the
program. If the recommendation is for the creation of a new plan, the committee simply repeats
the procedure outlined above. If the Student Review Committee recommends dismissal, the case
is referred to a meeting of the program faculty.

   a. Dismissal: The SRC can recommend dismissal if the student fails to meet the conditions of
   the *Student Improvement Plan*. Alternatively, if by agreement of the SRC the misconduct was
   serious enough to warrant immediate dismissal without further intervention, the SRC can
recommend dismissal without the development of a Student Improvement Plan. In either case, the recommendation for dismissal, along with supporting documentation, is forwarded to a meeting of the program faculty for consideration. The recommendation for dismissal may be for immediate dismissal or dismissal at the completion of the current academic quarter or semester. After examining the documentation presented by the program coordinator, the decision for dismissal is determined by a simple majority of program faculty present in the meeting. The decision is then communicated to the student and the record of the decision placed in the student’s file.

**Procedure for forming the Student Review Committee (SRC)**

At the beginning of each academic year each department chair recruits for four faculty members to join a college-wide pool of department faculty. These faculty members will potentially serve on ad hoc Student Review Committees. When the need arises, chairs recruit from among the members of the pool, excluding faculty who may be involved in the situation being considered.
FEES AND PAYMENT PROCEDURE

A letter will be mailed to you by admissions containing the instructions for activating “MyCoyote”.

1. You are given a temporary ID and password. On the CSUSB website http://www.csusb.edu click on MyCoyote Login.

2. Click on First Time Users Activate Your Account. This will give you step-by-step instructions.

3. You are also given a CSUSB email address. The University will post information on this email for you, however, the Doctoral Studies Office will keep you apprised of any information you will need. The Office of Doctoral Studies will use the email address provided by you.

All students accepted into the doctoral program (Ed.D. in Educational Leadership) for the 2017-2018 Academic Year will be registered into classes by the Office of Doctoral Studies. All students must pay fees at the bursar’s office (cash, check, atm/money order) or online at: https://mycoyote.cms.csusb.edu

Doctoral Program fees are established by the Board of Trustees. All fees are approximate and subject to budgetary adjustments. The Doctoral Program is year round: fall, winter, spring and summer. The fees are not based on number of units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tuition</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition Fee</td>
<td>$3,946</td>
<td>$3,946</td>
<td>$3,946</td>
<td>$5,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Fees</td>
<td>$401.91</td>
<td>$390.25</td>
<td>$392.25</td>
<td>$220.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$4,347.91</td>
<td>$4,336.25</td>
<td>$4,338.25</td>
<td>$6,139.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Doctoral students may be eligible for various kinds of financial aid or loans. Details about these resources can be found at the Financial Aid website.

Please do not wait for a billing statement as CSUSB does NOT mail billing statements. You can access your tuition fee due date through MY COYOTE SELF SERVICE. The tuition fee payment due date is based on the date of your registration/enrollment. The Office of Doctoral Studies will enroll you right after priority registration.

If you are having difficulty paying your fees, particularly for fall quarter, please use the following instructions:

Fall quarter:
MyCoyote will say that you do not owe for fall because you are not enrolled.

1. On the home page click the link “SB Make Payment”
It will ask you to pay credit or e-check.
2. This will take you to the E-Payment Page Click the tab on top that says “Make Payment”

3. This page will show items to pay for. Don’t choose all of them, just choose “tuition For Fall” Doctoral Program. Then the amount of tuition will show.

4. Place in shopping basket, and follow the prompts for payment.
THE COYOTE ONE CARD

The Coyote One Card is the official California State University San Bernardino identification card. It provides proof of University enrollment and/or employment. Your card will provide access to library services, self-instructional computer labs, gym, and health center services. They are located in the Pfau Library Wedge on the first floor, PL-1108 inside the Technology Support Center. At PDC it is located in the Indian Wells Building, Room 102.

You must know your Student Identification Number and have a picture I.D. If you are taking classes at our Palm Desert Campus, you do not need to travel to the main campus to receive your ID. Contact PDC library staff for assistance.

If you are a continuing/returning CSUSB student, your current ID is valid as long as you are registered for the current quarter.
PARKING PERMITS

Permits are required for parking on the CSUSB campus at all times - 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. All regulations i.e. 30 minutes, carpool, faculty/staff, service vehicles, disabled spaces are enforced 24 hours a day 7 days a week. For the Fall, Winter, Spring and Summer quarters parking is enforced 24 hours a day 7 days a week, there is No Grace Period.

Annual, Quarterly, and Daily Parking Permits are available. Annual and Quarterly permits may be purchased online: https://csusb.t2hosted.com/cmn/index.aspx

You may use the parking permit at both the San Bernardino campus and Palm Desert Campus.
STUDENT EMAIL POLICY

The University intends to replace many of the letters sent to students via the U.S. Postal Service with email communications. As a result, it is strongly recommended that students check their email accounts daily. The consequences of not checking email are the same as those for not checking a U.S. Postal mailbox. Some of these consequences include missing payment deadlines, missing registration deadlines, missing immunization deadlines, missing out on opportunities for financial aid, and missing requirements and deadlines for graduation. Students are responsible for the consequences of not reading university related communications sent to their email account. Students have the responsibility to recognize that certain communications may be time critical. Errors in forwarding email to a personal email address or failure to read emails regularly are not acceptable reasons for missing university deadlines. Students have the responsibility to clean their email accounts and avoid emails being rejected due to limited space in their account. Students must also be sure that their forwarding e-mail is included in the doctoral studies distribution list, and the Blackboardsite.
DOCTORAL PROGRAM CONTACT INFORMATION

Office of Doctoral Studies
College of Education Building, Room 335
5500 University Parkway
San Bernardino, CA 92407
Phone: 909 537-5651
Fax: 909 537-7056

Dr. Sharon Brown-Welty
Co-Director
Email: sharonb@csusb.edu
909-537-8101

Dr. Edna Martinez
Co-Director
Email: emartinez@csusb.edu
909-537-5676

Dr. Stanley L. Swartz
Co-Director
Email: sswartz@csusb.edu
909-537-5601

Ms. Catherine Snow
Administrative Support Coordinator
Email: csnow@csusb.edu
909-537-3605

website: http://edd.csusb.edu